



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

Bill #	HB0118	Title:	Revise distribution of 911 funds
Primary Sponsor:	Klock, Harry	Status:	As Introduced

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov Impact | <input type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts | <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2010 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2011 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2012 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2013 Difference</u>
Expenditures:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact-General Fund Balance	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

Description of fiscal impact: This legislation would revise the distribution of wireless 9-1-1 funds to ensure that each wireless provider account and 9-1-1 jurisdiction receives at least the same amount as those that qualify for 16% of the fund based on population of fewer than 1%.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

1. Current wireless statute specifies additional surcharge revenues for smaller counties with low population. 84% of the revenues are divided equally based on population. 16% of the revenues are distributed to those smaller counties with less than 1% of the population.
2. Counties with 1% or more but fewer than 2.9% of the state's population receive less funding than counties with less than 1% of the state's population
3. The distribution would be adjusted to ensure that no county or wireless service provider receives less than the amount allocated to counties and wireless providers that qualify for the 16%.
4. The 84/16 provision in current statute sunsets in 2011.

Sponsor's Initials

Date

Budget Director's Initials

Date